

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,942	07/07/2003	Tooru Kitagawa	1081.1178	6654	
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 06/29/2007 .SEY LLP		EXAM	INER	
SUITE 700			RAHMAN, FAHMIDA		
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	RK,AVENUE, N.W. N. DC 20005		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	, 202000		2116		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/29/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/612,942	KITAGAWA, TOORU
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Fahmida Rahman	2116
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pre	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,4-10 and 12-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-10 and 12-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accerning a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. This final action is in response to communications filed on 4/20/2007.

2. Claims 1, 7, 9, 13, 15 have been amended, claim 16 has been added, claims 3 and 11 have been canceled. Claims 1-2, 4-10, 12-16 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer et al. (US Patent 6826715), in view of Cleary et al (US patent 5504905).

For claim 1, Meyer et al teach the following limitations:

A management method of hardware configuration information by a computer (lines 55-59 of column 1) by which hardware configuration information of each device constituting the computer is managed (lines 38-40 of column 3), said management method comprising the steps of:

- acquiring hardware configuration information of each device (lines 52-57 of column 2) at a plurality of predetermined timing sets (lines 18-22 of

column 25) by operation of a single computer program (Compaq diagnostic record tool "cpdiaga.exe" mentioned in line 53 of column 2 is a single computer program), each time the computer is switched on (lines 21-22 of column 25 mentioned that the configuration may be gathered at each startup);

and recording the acquired hardware configuration information into a predetermined nonvolatile storage medium (according to lines 55-62 of column 2, the configuration file is stored as an ASCII text file called now.log) with a hardware configuration information acquired previously (lines 20-30 of column 25 mention that chronological record of configuration settings preserved), the previously acquired hardware configuration information containing a comparison field (lines 22-26 of column 25 mention that each configuration is time stamped. The time stamp is the comparison field, since each current configuration is compared with previous configuration (lines 25-30 of column 25). Time stamp provides the indication of "current" and "previous" record), the recording is performed by operation of the single computer program (the cpdiaga.exe is the single executable program whose operation produces a record of configuration in a non-volatile storage medium. It is the operation of single executable cpdiaga.exe that performs both recording and acquiring of the captured hardware information),

- wherein the predetermined timing sets comprise timing after OS is activated (lines 3-6 of column 25 mention that the present innovation is used on a computer running on windows operating system. Thus, timing sets comprise timing after OS is activated).

Although the system of Meyer et al teaches the limitation that the configuration can be captured at each start up, it does not explicitly mention that the predetermined timing sets comprise timing at the time of executing BIOS of the computer. However, it is BIOS that executes during startup. In addition, line 24 of column 27 mentions that BIOS data has been captured. Therefore, it is likely that the configuration is captured during execution of BIOS too.

Cleary et al mention acquiring and recording data at the time of executing the BIOS (lines 15-20 of column 10 mention that current system configuration is determined during POST. Lines 1-5 of column 11 mention that current system configuration is stored in non-volatile memory 248 during POST. Lines 25-26 of column 2 mention that BIOS sometimes include POST. Therefore, while BIOS comprises POST, BIOS is acquiring and recording current configuration in non-volatile memory).

It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Meyer et al and Cleary et al. One ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to capture the configuration data at the time of executing BIOS, since BIOS is the program that executes during startup. Meyer et al captures

configuration during startup and hence, it is customary to use BIOS for configuration capturing.

For claim 2, Meyer et al teach the following limitations:

The management method of hardware configuration information further comprising the steps of:

- reading out the hardware configuration information acquired in the past and recorded in the nonvolatile storage medium (line 63-65 of column 2 mention that the base log 202 in Fig 2 is read out by Compaq Diagnostics System Record tool);
- comparing the readout hardware configuration information with the acquired hardware configuration information (lines 63-67 of column 2);
- and displaying the comparison result onto a predetermined display unit
 (lines 1-7 of column 3; Fig 2)

For claim 4, note table Compaq Diagnostics for Windows 2.11 in columns 3 through 24, in Meyer, which show the version number related to each product.

For claim 5, Cleary et al teach that the computer is client connected to a server through a network and the server receives client hardware configuration information acquired by the client through the network, and the server records them in the non-volatile memory (lines 30-52 of column 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/612,942

Art Unit: 2116

For claim 7, Meyer et al teach the following limitations:

A recording medium in which a program ("stored diagnostic program" in line 60 of column 1) managing hardware configuration information of each device constituting a computer (lines 55-59 of column 1) is stored, wherein said program comprises:

- a process of acquiring hardware configuration information of each device (lines 52-57 of column 2) at a plurality of predetermined timing sets (lines 18-22 of column 25) by operation of a single computer program (Compaq diagnostic record tool "cpdiaga.exe" mentioned in line 53 of column 2 is a single computer program), each time the computer is switched on (lines 21-22 of column 25 mentioned that the configuration may be gathered at each startup):
 - a process of recording the acquired hardware configuration information into a predetermined nonvolatile storage medium (according to lines 55-62 of column 2, the configuration file is stored as an ASCII text file called now.log) with a hardware configuration information acquired previously (lines 20-30 of column 25 mention that chronological record of configuration settings are preserved), the previously acquired hardware configuration information containing a comparison field (lines 22-26 of column 25 mention that each configuration is time stamped. The time stamp is the comparison field, since each current configuration is compared with previous configuration (lines 25-30 of column 25). Time stamp provides the indication

of "current" and "previous" record), the recording is performed by operation of the single computer program (the cpdiaga.exe is the single executable program whose operation produces a record of configuration in a non-volatile storage medium. It is the operation of single executable cpdiaga.exe that performs both recording and acquiring of the captured hardware information),

wherein the predetermined timing sets comprise timing after OS is activated (lines 3-6 of column 25 mention that the present innovation is used on a computer running on windows operating system. Thus, timing sets comprise timing after OS is activated).

Although the system of Meyer et al teaches the limitation that the configuration can be captured at each start up, it does not explicitly mention that the predetermined timing sets comprise timing at the time of executing BIOS of the computer. However, it is BIOS that executes during startup. In addition, line 24 of column 27 mentions that BIOS data has been captured. Therefore, it is likely that the configuration is captured during execution of BIOS too.

Cleary et al mention acquiring and recording data at the time of executing the BIOS. (lines 15-20 of column 10 mention that current system configuration is determined during POST. Lines 1-5 of column 11 mention that current system configuration is stored in non-volatile memory 248 during POST. Lines 25-26 of column 2 mention that

BIOS sometimes include POST. Therefore, while BIOS comprises POST, BIOS is acquiring and recording current configuration in non-volatile memory).

It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Meyer et al and Cleary et al. One ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to capture the configuration data at the time of executing BIOS, since BIOS is the program that executes during startup. Meyer et al captures configuration during startup and hence, it is customary to use BIOS for configuration capturing.

For claim 8, Meyer et al teach the following limitations:

wherein said program further comprises:

- a process of reading out hardware configuration information which was acquired in the past and is stored in the nonvolatile storage medium (line 63-65 of column 2 mention that the base log 202 in Fig 2 is read out by Compag Diagnostics System Record tool);
- a process of comparing said readout hardware configuration information with the acquired hardware configuration information (lines 63-67 of column 2);;
- and a process of displaying the comparison result onto a predetermined display unit (lines 1-7 of column 3; Fig 2)

For claim 9, Meyer et al teach the following limitations:

A computer having a plurality of devices (Fig 3) comprising:

mentioned that the configuration may be gathered at each startup);

an acquisition section by which hardware configuration information of each device (lines 52-57 of column 2) is acquired at a plurality of predetermined timing sets (lines 18-22 of column 25) by operation of a single computer program (Compaq diagnostic record tool "cpdiaga.exe" mentioned in line 53 of column 2 is a single computer program), each time the computer is switched on (lines 21-22 of column 25).

and a recording section which records the acquired hardware configuration information into a predetermined nonvolatile storage medium (according to lines 55-62 of column 2, the configuration file is stored as an ASCII text file called now.log) with a hardware configuration information acquired previously (lines 20-30 of column 25 mention that chronological record of configuration settings are preserved), the previously acquired hardware configuration information containing a comparison field (lines 22-26 of column 25 mention that each configuration is time stamped. The time stamp is the comparison field, since each current configuration is compared with previous configuration (lines 25-30 of column 25). Time stamp provides the indication of "current" and "previous" record), the recording is performed by operation of the single computer program (the cpdiaga.exe is the single executable program whose operation produces a record of configuration in a non-volatile storage medium. It is the operation of single executable cpdiaga.exe that performs both

recording and acquiring of the captured hardware information), wherein the predetermined timing sets comprise timing after OS is activated (lines 3-6 of column 25 mention that the present innovation is used on a computer running on windows operating system. Thus, timing sets comprise timing after OS is activated).

Although the system of Meyer et al teaches the limitation that the configuration can be captured at each start up, it does not explicitly mention that the predetermined timing sets comprise timing at the time of executing BIOS of the computer. However, it is BIOS that executes during startup. In addition, line 24 of column 27 mentions that BIOS data has been captured. Therefore, it is likely that the configuration is captured during execution of BIOS too.

Cleary et al mention acquiring and recording data at the time of executing the BIOS (lines 15-20 of column 10 mention that current system configuration is determined during POST. Lines 1-5 of column 11 mention that current system configuration is stored in non-volatile memory 248 during POST. Lines 25-26 of column 2 mention that BIOS sometimes include POST. Therefore, while BIOS comprises POST, BIOS is acquiring and recording current configuration in non-volatile memory).

It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Meyer et al and Cleary et al. One ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to capture the configuration data at the time of executing BIOS.

since BIOS is the program that executes during startup. Meyer et al captures configuration during startup and hence, it is customary to use BIOS for configuration capturing.

For claim 10, Meyer et al teach the following limitations:

- a comparison section which reads out the hardware configuration information acquired in the past and stored in the nonvolatile storage medium (line 63-65 of column 2 mention that the base.log 202 in Fig 2 is read out by Compaq Diagnostics System Record tool);
- and compares said readout hardware configuration information with the acquired hardware configuration information (lines 63-67 of column 2);
- and a display section which displays the comparison result onto a display unit (lines 1-7 of column 3; Fig 2)

For claim 12, note table Compaq Diagnostics for Windows 2.11 in columns 3 through 24 in Meyer, which show the version number related to each product.

For claim 13, Meyer et al teach:

A computer connected through a network (lines 45-50 of column 25 mention that other processing of configuration data could occur remotely by a computer at the customer service site. Thus, a computer is connected through a network) to another computer having a plurality of devices (Fig 3) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/612,942

Art Unit: 2116

a reception section which receives hardware configuration information

of each device (lines 52-57 of column 2) acquired at a plurality of

Page 12

predetermined timing sets (lines 52-57 of column 2) from the other

computer through the network (customer service site is connected through

network), wherein the predetermined timing sets comprise timing after

OS is activated (lines 3-6 of column 25 mention that the present innovation is

used on a computer running on windows operating system. Thus, timing sets

comprise timing after OS is activated)

and a recording section which records said received hardware

configuration information into a predetermined nonvolatile storage

medium (lines 20-30 of column 25 mention recording of configuration, which

can be thought as part of the computer as computer receives the

configuration from the storage) with a hardware configuration information

acquired previously (lines 20-30 of column 25 mention that chronological

record of configuration settings are preserved), the previously acquired

hardware configuration information containing a comparison field (lines

22-26 of column 25 mention that each configuration is time stamped. The

time stamp is the comparison field, since each current configuration is

compared with previous configuration (lines 25-30 of column 25). Time stamp

provides the indication of "current" and "previous" record).

Meyer et al do not explicitly mention that the predetermined timing sets comprise timing

Page 13

at the time of executing BIOS of the computer. However, Meyer et al store the

configuration information during start-up (lines 15-25 of column 25).

Cleary et al mention acquiring and recording data at the time of executing the BIOS

(lines 15-20 of column 10 mention that current system configuration is determined

during POST. Lines 1-5 of column 11 mention that current system configuration is

stored in non-volatile memory 248 during POST. Lines 25-26 of column 2 mention that

BIOS sometimes include POST. Therefore, while BIOS comprises POST, BIOS is

acquiring and recording current configuration in non-volatile memory).

It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to combine the teachings of Meyer et al and Cleary et al. One ordinary skill in the

art would be motivated to capture the configuration data at the time of executing BIOS,

since BIOS is the program that executes during startup. Capturing configuration during

BIOS and comparing with previous information helps reduce the time for resource

conflict detection.

For claim 15, Meyer et al teach the following limitations:

A recording medium in which a single program (cpgdiaga.exe) to be executed by a

computer (Fig 3) connected through a network to another computer (lines 60-65 of

column 25 mention that the invention is applicable to computers with multi-processors.

The other processor is another computer and multi-processor architectures are networked) having a plurality of devices (Fig 3) is stored, wherein said program comprises:

- a process of receiving hardware configuration information of each device (lines 52-57 of column 2) acquired at a plurality of predetermined timing sets (lines 52-57 of column 2) from the other computer through the network (customer service site is connected through network), wherein the predetermined timing sets comprise timing after OS is activated (lines 3-6 of column 25 mention that the present innovation is used on a computer running on windows operating system. Thus, timing sets comprise timing after OS is activated)
- and a process of recording said received hardware configuration information into a predetermined nonvolatile storage medium (lines 20-30 of column 25 mention recording of configuration) with a hardware configuration information acquired previously (lines 20-30 of column 25 mention that chronological record of configuration settings are preserved), the previously acquired hardware configuration information containing a comparison field (lines 22-26 of column 25 mention that each configuration is time stamped. The time stamp is the comparison field, since each current configuration is compared with previous configuration (lines 25-30 of column 25). Time stamp provides the indication of "current" and "previous" record).

Meyer et al do not explicitly mention that the predetermined timing sets comprise timing at the time of executing BIOS of the computer.

Cleary et al mention acquiring and recording data at the time of executing the BIOS (lines 15-20 of column 10 mention that current system configuration is determined during POST. Lines 1-5 of column 11 mention that current system configuration is stored in non-volatile memory 248 during POST. Lines 25-26 of column 2 mention that BIOS sometimes include POST. Therefore, while BIOS comprises POST, BIOS is acquiring and recording current configuration in non-volatile memory).

It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Meyer et al and Cleary et al. One ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to capture the configuration data at the time of executing BIOS. since BIOS is the program that executes during startup. Meyer et al captures configuration during startup and hence, it is customary to use BIOS for configuration capturing.

For claim 16, Meyer et al teach the following limitations:

A method of managing a hardware configuration environment comprising: storing in a predetermined nonvolatile storage medium (lines 20-30 of column 25 mention recording of configuration) with previously acquired hardware configuration (lines 20-30 of column 25 mention that chronological record of configuration settings are preserved) information containing a comparison field (lines 22-26 of column 25 mention

that each configuration is time stamped. The time stamp is the comparison field, since

each current configuration is compared with previous configuration (lines 25-30 of

column 25). Time stamp provides the indication of "current" and "previous" record) and

currently acquired hardware configuration information (lines 25-30 of column 25)

comprising a plurality of predetermined timing sets by operation of a single computer

program upon computer initialization (lines 52-57 of column 2), the predetermined

timing sets comprise timing after OS is activated (lines 3-6 of column 25 mention that

the present innovation is used on a computer running on windows operating system.

Thus, timing sets comprise timing after OS is activated)

Meyer et al do not explicitly mention that the predetermined timing sets comprise timing

at the time of executing BIOS of the computer.

Cleary et al mention acquiring and recording data at the time of executing the BIOS

(lines 15-20 of column 10 mention that current system configuration is determined

during POST. Lines 1-5 of column 11 mention that current system configuration is

stored in non-volatile memory 248 during POST. Lines 25-26 of column 2 mention that

BIOS sometimes include POST. Therefore, while BIOS comprises POST, BIOS is

acquiring and recording current configuration in non-volatile memory).

It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to combine the teachings of Meyer et al and Cleary et al. One ordinary skill in the

art would be motivated to capture the configuration data at the time of executing BIOS,

since BIOS is the program that executes during startup. Meyer et al captures

configuration during startup and hence, it is customary to use BIOS for configuration

capturing.

4. Claims 6 and 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Meyer et al (US Patent 6826715), in view of Cleary et al, further in view of Burgess et al

(US Patent 5758071).

For claim 6, Meyer et al and Cleary et al teach all of the limitations of claim 5 as stated

above. However, Meyer et al and Cleary et al do not teach that the server compares the

program version number related to a predetermined device included in the received

hardware configuration information with a version number of the most up-to-date

program and updates the program to the up-to-date program when comparison results

in inconsistency.

Burgess et al teach the following limitations:

- the hardware configuration includes version number related to each device

(note line 10-15 of column 6 of Burgess et al.);

the server records the most up-to-date program and a version number thereof

with respect to a program related to each device in the client (lines 45-61 of

column 2)

- the server compares the program version number related to a predetermined

device included in the received hardware configuration information with a

version number of the most up-to-date program and updates the program to

the up-to-date program when comparison results in inconsistency (lines 45-61

of column 2).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of

Meyer et al, Cleary et al and Burgess et al. One ordinary skill in the art would have been

motivated to have computers connected in network and, acquire and store the

configuration of monitored computer in a storage medium by monitoring computer, since

this may help a network administrator easily obtain the history of updates of software in

the network so as to be able to maintain better control of what revision of software is

provided to each computer in the network (lines 33-37 of column 2).

However, the combination of Meyer et al, Cleary et al and Burgess et al does not teach

that the server computer acquires information of client computer to update the program

to the most up-to-date program.

It is very likely that the computers connected to network follows client/server model.

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to consider the network

administrator to have the server computer and the monitored computer as a client

computer as network administrator typically uses server to update the client.

For claim 14, Meyer, in view of Cleary, does not explicitly mention about updating the

program. Burgess et al teach the following limitations:

the hardware configuration information includes a version number of a program

related to each device (lines 6-14 of column 6 mention that the driver and services

available on the system includes the version number), and the computer comprises:

- a comparison section which compares the version number of the program

related to each device included in the hardware configuration information

received from the other computer with the version number of the most up-

to-date program related to said device (lines 43-47 of column 5 mention that

the obtained configuration information is compared with prior configuration

information. Lines 6-14 of column 6 mention that the configuration information

includes version number of the driver);

- and an update section which updates the program related to the device of

the other computer to the most up-to-date program when the comparison

results in inconsistency (lines 35-38 of column 5 mention that the configuration

changes on software updates and hardware upgrades are tracked. Lines 53-55

of column 5 mention that the configuration changes are sent to listeners, i.e., the

second computer).

It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to combine the teachings of Meyer et al, Cleary et al and Burgess et al. One

ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to update a program when version number does not match, since this operation is necessary for upgrading of program.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 4/20/2007 with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7-10, 12 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments regarding claims 13-16 are most in view of new grounds of rejections.

Applicant argues that Meyer does not discuss "acquiring hardware configuration information of each device at a plurality of predetermined timing sets" as required by claim 1.

Examiner disagrees. Lines 18-22 of column 25 of Meyer mention that new configuration is captured and recorded each time hardware or operating system undergoes any changes. "captured" is analogous to "acquired". Thus, capturing the new configuration is same as acquiring the new configuration. The new configuration in Meyer is captured during startup and changes of hardware/OS, which constitute predetermined timing sets. Thus, hardware configuration information of each device is captured at a plurality of predetermined timing sets.

Applicant further argues that Cleary does not discuss the use of "wherein the predetermined timing sets comprise timing at the time of executing BIOS", although Cleary discuss the storage of configuration changes during the POST.

Examiner disagrees. Lines 15-20 of column 10 of Cleary mention that the current system configuration is determined during POST and lines 25-27 of column 2 mention that BIOS includes POST. Therefore, the current system configuration is acquired during execution of BIOS, which is one of the predetermined timing sets.

Applicant further argues that Burgess discusses storing of information as to driver, not a hardware configuration as required by claim 6. Thus, Burgess does not teach "a process of acquiring hardware configuration information of each device".

Examiner disagrees. Claim 6 requires hardware configuration information to include version number of a program related to device. Device driver is a program associated with the device. Thus, hardware configuration information includes version number of a program related to device. In addition to Meyer, Burgess teaches acquiring hardware configuration information of each device (lines 63-67 of column 5; lines 1-5 of column 6).

Applicant further argues that Burgess does not teach updates the program.

Examiner disagrees. Burgess tracks the software update (lines 35-40 of column 5).

Therefore, version changes of driver program of the devices are tracked and recorded when comparison results inconsistency.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fahmida Rahman whose telephone number is 571-272-8159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 - 5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 10/612,942 Page 23

Art Unit: 2116

supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571-272-3676. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fahmida Rahman Examiner

Art Unit 2116

UO M NAUHT PRIMAY FYAMING